## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL No. 2327

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

# RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' "NOTICE TO TAKE VIDEO DEPOSITION OF MARIANNE KAMINSKI" AND ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Defendants Ethicon, Inc. ("Ethicon") and Johnson & Johnson ("J&J") (collectively, "Defendants") hereby respond and object to Plaintiffs' "Notice to Take Video Deposition of Marianne Kaminski" (the "Notice"). The Notice was filed and served on October 8, 2013, and the deposition of Ms. Kaminski is noticed for December 3, 2013. The Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

Defendants note that Ms. Kaminski is no longer employed by any defendant, and, to the best of the Defendants' knowledge, is not a resident of New Jersey and cannot be compelled to attend a deposition in that state. Defendants have communicated this information to Plaintiffs' counsel and have notified Plaintiffs' counsel that a subpoena will likely be necessary to secure Ms. Kaminski's attendance.

The responses and objections contained herein are made without in any way waiving or intending to waive—but on the contrary reserving and intending to reserve—the right at any time to revise, supplement, correct, or add to these objections and responses. Defendants note that no

documents have been withheld from production on the basis of the objections set forth in this Response unless expressly stated.

Defendants generally object to the specific requests to produce contained in the Notice. Plaintiffs have repeatedly requested materials that would impose a much greater burden on Defendants than is required by the agreed-upon Defendants' Fact Sheet. Defendants have corresponded with Plaintiff's counsel on this topic. Defendants object to the broad scope of the requests to produce attached to this Notice. These broad requests go far beyond the case-specific depositions and related discovery authorized in Pre-Trial Order 33.

# SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO NOTICE AND REQUESTS TO PRODUCE

**<u>Document Request No. 1:</u>** A copy of the current resume and/or curriculum vitae for the deponent.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 1: Defendants object that there is no Rule of Civil Procedure that requires a witness to create a resume or curriculum vitae for production. In an effort to cooperate and facilitate this deposition, however, Defendants will make a good faith effort to provide Plaintiffs a copy of the deponent's current resume to the extent one exists.

**Document Request No. 2:** A copy of the personnel file and/or employment file for the deponent.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 2: Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection process and would have been or will be produced. To the extent that additional documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled

depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

<u>Document Request No. 3:</u> All documents relating to the TVT products that are in the deponent's personal possession, meaning documents stored or maintained in the deponent's dwelling, home, garage, or any other property owned, rented, leased, maintained or lived in by defendant as well as any documents or electronic media related to the TVT products which is stored or maintained on the defendant's personal property including but not limited to, personal computers, cell phones, flash drives, or any potable [SIC] storage media device.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 3: Defendants object to this request as overly broad and as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving said objection, Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection process and would have been or will be produced. To the extent that additional documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

<u>Document Request No. 4:</u> All documents, notes, videos, or other information relating to TVT products that the deponent sponsored, supported, edited, posted, and/or linked websites, FaceBook pages, MySpace pages, Twitter pages, Wikipedia, or pages on any other websites.

Responses and Objections to Document Request No. 4: Defendants object to this request as overly broad and as not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving said objection, Defendants have a reasonable and good faith belief that responsive documents, if any, would have been identified in connection with the collection

process and would have been or will be produced. To the extent that additional documents, if any, are identified during the process of preparing for scheduled depositions, Defendants will make a good faith effort to produce such responsive, non-privileged documents in advance of the deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

ETHICON, INC. AND JOHNSON & JOHNSON

#### /s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731) Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 P.O. Box 3824 Charleston, WV 25338-3824 (304) 414-1800

#### /s/ Christy D. Jones

Christy D. Jones Butler, Snow, O'Mara, Stevens & Cannada, PLLC 1020 Highland Colony Parkway Suite 1400 (39157) P.O. Box 6010 Ridgeland, MS 39158-6010 (601) 985-4523

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT CHARLESTON

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Master File No. 2:12-MD-02327 MDL No. 2327

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

JOSEPH R. GOODWIN U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, David B. Thomas, certify that on October 18, 2013, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the CM/ECF participants registered to receive service in this MDL.

/s/ David B. Thomas

David B. Thomas (W. Va. Bar No. 3731) Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 P.O. Box 3824 Charleston, WV 25338-3824 (304) 414-1800 dthomas@tcspllc.com